

#### FOUNDATIONS FOR JUSTICE AND PEACEBUILDING I PAX 534

Fall 2018 Thursdays 8:45-11:45 a.m. & 1:45-4:45 p.m. in Library 121, JAMAR classroom

# **INSTRUCTOR INFORMATION:**

**Gloria Rhodes, Phd, Anchor** Office: Martin Store 105 Office Hours: Fridays 9 a.m. – 12 noon, or by appointment

**Carl Stauffer, Phd** Office: Martin Store 110 Office Hours: Tuesdays 9 a.m. – 12 noon, or by appointment rhodesg@emu.edu gloria.rhodes1(Skype) 540-432-4270

carl.stauffer@emu.edu stauffers.inc (Skype) 540-432-4462

Matt Tibbles, MAmatt.tibOffice: Roselawn 224540-432Office Hours: Mondays 8:30 a.m. - 12 noon & 1-2 p.m. or by appointment

# **COURSE DESCRIPTION:**

**Foundations I and II** give an overview of social justice and peacebuilding practice and its multidisciplinary, multi-level aspects. This course, Foundations I, addresses personal, interpersonal, small group, and organizational-level conflict transformation and social change. **Foundations I** will center personal formation, basic action research, analysis for understanding conflict and injustice, theoretical underpinnings of practice, theories of change, practitioner roles, essential skills and basic processes in our work, and process design. **Foundations II** focuses on communal and societal levels of conflict and social change, centering critical theory, deeper levels of analysis, power, structural and macro-level engagement, larger group processes, process design and more. Throughout the two courses, you will be required to learn and integrate critical self-assessment, ethical application of theory, technical utilization of research and analysis tools, and systematic processes of planning and implementation for intervention or action across many sectors in society.

Foundations I is constructed to assist you to integrate these vital elements - theory, research, analysis and practical skills and processes – into your justice work and peacebuilding practice. You will be introduced to basic literature and theory of the fields; explore conflict transformation from an individual, interpersonal and organizational level; consider the dynamics of conflict and injustice, and experience the practice of peacebuilding through reading and discussions, intensive teamwork, interactive case study, role-plays, and simulated practice exercises.

Skills competencies are emphasized in the areas of self-awareness, team-building, conflict analysis and assessment, communication, construction of theories of change, strategies for intervention in interpersonal, intra-and intergroup conflicts, and process design. Basic processes that help structure conversations (such as negotiation, mediation and facilitation), nonviolent social action, accompaniment and coaching strategies and other transformative processes are highlighted. This course employs the action-reflection learning cycle as the undergirding educational framework throughout the semester.

<u>matt.tibbles@emu.edu</u> 540-432-4435

# COURSE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES:

1. To present **theoretical and practical understandings** of justice, conflict transformation and peacebuilding. Students will:

- Read and discuss relevant literature.
- Use a repertoire of theoretical and practical analytical models for understanding injustice, conflict and violence and develop theories of change to address these.
- Consider schools of thought related to justice, conflict transformation and peacebuilding through various frameworks and theories.

2. To provide opportunity for **personal formation** through self-awareness, self-management, self-assessment and self-care/compassion. Students will

- Use self-assessment tools to understand the factors affecting current and past personal responses to conflict and injustice (e.g. genogram, enneagram, personal conflict styles etc.).
- Create a growth plan for self-management.
- Reflect on how personal values, personality, habits, self-identity, biography, spirituality, and other factors may positively or negatively affect justice and peacebuilding practice.
- Participate in team building experiences.

3. To prepare **reflective practitioners** who skillfully and ethically integrate theory, research/analysis and practice into the process of building durable peace with justice in their contexts. Students will:

- Develop analysis skills by applying models for understanding systems of conflict and injustice and social dynamics to a case, individually and as part of a group.
- Reflect on their values, strengths and challenges and develop and practice a menu of personal skills (e.g. observing without judgment, listening and speaking, providing feedback, attending, and practicing self-management).
- Demonstrate knowledge of a range of processes that can be used to facilitate effective communication and decision-making.
- Examine their own identities, abilities and sources of power and practice choosing appropriate roles (accompaniment, coaching, direct intervention, advocacy, activism, mediation, facilitation, etc.) in relation to their analysis and their proposed practice.

4. To ensure that CJP graduates are **well-equipped practitioners** who can engage the processes of project planning, design, and implementation at a personal, interpersonal and organizational level. Students will:

- Practice making intervention choices that arise from their analyses, and are context and resource appropriate.
- Complete a case study through analysis, development of theories of change, and design.
- Complete a mock-comprehensive final exam that asks them to analyze, develop theories of change, and design appropriate intervention for a case.

#### **REQUIRED TEXTS AND RESOURCES:**

# Required textbooks to purchase or download (all below except internet resources are available in the EMU Bookstore) including:

- **Demmers**, Jolle. 2012. Theories of Violent Conflict: An Introduction. New York: Routledge. ISBN: 978-0415555340. Approximate price: \$42.
- **Docherty**, Jayne. 2005. Strategic Negotiation. Intercourse, PA: Good Books. ISBN: 978-1561484287. Approximate price: \$5.
- **Fisher (et al)**, Simon, Dekha Abdi, Jawed Ludin, Richard Smith, Steve and Sue Williams. 2000. Working with Conflict: Skills and Strategies for Action. London: Zed Books. ISBN: 978-1856498371. Approximate price: \$30. First three chapters are on Moodle.
- **Gilbert**, Roberta M. 2006. The Eight Concepts of Bowen Theory: A New Way of Thinking about the Individual and the Group. Falls Church, VA: Leading Systems Press. \$16. Recommended but not required if accessing Bowen Theory concepts elsewhere.
- Lederach, J. P., Neufeldt, R., & Culbertson, H. 2007. **Reflective peacebuilding**: A planning, monitoring, and learning toolkit. Download from <u>http://kroc.nd.edu/sites/default/files/crs\_reflective\_final.pdf</u>
- **Mayer**, Bernard. 2012. The Dynamics of Conflict: A guide to engagement and intervention. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. San Francisco: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. Approximate price: \$40.
- Kelsey, Dee and Pam Plumb. 2004. **Great Meetings**! Great Results! Hanson Park Press. Revised and Expanded Edition. \$20.
- **Schein,** Edgar. 2011. Helping: How to Offer, Give, and Receive Help. Understanding Effective Dynamics in One-to-One, Group, and Organizational Relationships. San Francisco: Berrett-Koehler Publishers.
- Schirch (2), Lisa. 2013. Conflict Assessment and Peacebuilding Planning: Toward a Participatory Approach to Human Security. Boulder, CO: Kumarian Press. ISBN: 978-1565495791. Approximate price: \$20. (CAPP Handbook)
- Stone, Douglas and Patton, Bruce, and Sheila Heen. 2000. *Difficult Conversations:* How to discuss what matters most. New York: Penguin. 10<sup>th</sup> anniversary edition. \$10

The **boldface** words above and below will appear in the course schedule when required reading is due from these resources.

#### Required Reading materials (posted on Moodle) including:

- Allen Nan, Susan and Mary Mulvihill. June 2010. *Theories of Change and Indicator Development in Conflict Management and Mitigation*. United States Agency for International Development (**USAID Theories of Change**), Bureau for Democracy, Conflict, and Humanitarian Assistance (DCHA), Office for Conflict Management and Mitigation (CMM). Available from: http://pdf.usaid.gov/pdf\_docs/Pnads460.pdf
- CDA Collaborative Learning Projects. 2012. *Conflict Analysis Framework: Field Guidelines and Procedures. Reflecting on Peace Practice Project.* CDA Collaborative Learning Projects, Global Partnership for the Prevention of Armed Conflict and Norwegian Church Aid. Second Draft for Review and Field Testing. **RPP Field Guidelines** Available from http://www.kpsrl.org/browse/download/t/conflict-analysis-framework-field-guidelines.
- Docherty and Lantz-Simmons. 2017. A Geneology of Ideas: What is old is new again. <u>http://www.emu.edu/cjp/resources/a-genealogy-of-ideas/</u>. Center for Justice and Peacebuilding, Eastern Mennonite University, Volume 1.
- **Docherty and Lantz-Simmons (2).** 2017. A Geneology of Ideas: Conflict Analysis, Tools for Asking Better Questions. Center for Justice and Peacebuilding, Eastern Mennonite University, Volume 2. Available on Moodle for this course.
- **Dugan**, Maire. 1996. "A Nested Theory of Conflict." *Women in Leadership: Sharing the Vision*. Vol. 1.
- Gilligan, James. 2003. Shame, Guilt, and Violence. Social Research, Vol. 70, No. 4, Winter.
- Harris, Collette and Uganda Land Alliance. *Gender analysis of Conflict Toolkit.* June 2016. Saferworld. Available from: http://www.saferworld.org.uk/resources/view-resource/1076-gender-analysis-of-conflict
- **Hicks**, Donna. 2011. *Dignity: The Essential Role it Plays in Resolving Conflict.* New Haven: Yale University Press. (required chapters available on Moodle)
- **Kraus**, C. Norman. 2011. The Jesus Factor in Justice and Peacemaking (Theological Postings). Telford, PA: Cascadia Publishing House. (Chapters two and three)
- Laue, James and Gerald Cormick. 1978. The Ethics of Intervention in Community Disputes. The Ethics of Social Intervention, edited by Gordon Bermant, Herbert C. Kelman, and Donald P. Warwick. Washington, DC: Halsted Press.
- Lederach 1, John Paul. 1997. *Building Peace: Sustainable Reconciliation in Divided Societies.* Washington, DC: United States Institute of Peace Press. (Selected chapters 4-6)

- **Lencioni,** Patrick. *The Five Dysfunctions of a Team: A Leadership Fable*. (2007). Jossey Bass. ISBN: 978-8126506750. Approximate price: \$25.00
- **Max-Neef**, M., Elizalde, A., Hopenhayn, M., et al. (1989). Human Scale Development: An Option for the Future. In *Development Dialogue*, published by Dag Hammarskjold Foundation, Vol.1.
- Miall, H., Ramsbotham, O., and Woodhouse, T. 2010. *Contemporary Conflict Resolution*, 3rd Edition. Malden, MA: Blackwell. (Selected chapters Chs 1, 2, and 4). **(CCR**)
- Miller 1, Joseph S. 2000. A History of the Mennonite Conciliation Service, International Conciliation Service, and Christian Peacemaker Teams. In *From the Ground Up: Mennonite Contributions to International Peacebuilding*. New York: Oxford Univ. Press.
- Miller 2, Joseph S. 2000. Appendix A: Who Are the Mennonites? In *From the Ground Up: Mennonite Contributions to International Peacebuilding*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- **Mohr**, Bernard **and** Jane **Magruder Watkins**. (2002). *The Essentials of Appreciative Inquiry: A Roadmap for Creating Positive Futures.* Pegasus Communications.

Powercube: understanding power for social change. Available from http://www.powercube.net/

- **Rhodes**, Gloria. 2010. Mennonites and Peace. *International Encyclopedia of Peace*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- **Trujillo,** Mary Adams and S. Y. Bowland. 2008. *Re-Centering: Culture and Knowledge in Conflict Resolution Practice.* Syracuse, NY: Syracuse University Press.

#### **Bibliography for Supplemental Reading**

Books and Readings listed here are for background and further information if you have interest. These items are <u>not</u> required for purchase or class participation.

- Adams, Maurianne, Lee Anne Bell, and Pat Griffin. (2007). *Teaching for Diversity and Social Justice*. New York: Routledge. ISBN: 978-0415952002. Approximate price: \$65.00. (This resource provides detailed guidance for teaching about issues of identity, diversity, equity, and social justice within the United States.)
- Booth, Ivan and Lee Smithey. 2007. Privilege, Empowerment and Nonviolent Intervention. PEACE & CHANGE, Vol. 32, No. 1, Available at: quixoticlife.net/files/peacechange\_0107.pdf
- Brubaker, David. 2009. *The Little Book of Healthy Organizations*. Intercourse, PA: Good Books.

- Church, Cheyanne and Mark Rogers. *Designing for Results: Integrating Monitoring and Evaluation in Conflict Transformation Programs*. Washington, DC: Search for Common Ground and U.S. Institute of Peace. Available at: <u>http://www.sfcg.org/programmes/ilr/ilt\_manualpage.html</u>
- Conflict-sensitive approaches to development, humanitarian assistance and peacebuilding: A resource pack. January 2004. *Chapter 2 Conflict Analysis.* APFO, CECORE, CHA, FEWER, International Alert, Saferworld. Available from: www.saferworld.org.uk/downloads/pubdocs/chapter 2 266.pdf
- Francis, Diana. 2010. From Pacification to Peacebuilding: A Call to Global Transformation. New York: Pluto Press. ISBN: 978-0745330266. (selected chapters).
- Folger, Joseph, Marshall Scott Poole, and Randall Stutman. 2012. Chapters on Third-Party Intervention, and Power. In *Working through Conflict: Strategies for Relationships, Groups, and Organizations*, 7th Edition. New York: Routledge.
- Friedman, Edwin H. 1985. *Generation to Generation: Family Process in Church and Synagogue*. New York: The Guildford Press. (selected chapters).
- Goldberg, Marshall. (2003). *Nonviolent Communication: A Language for Life: Life-Changing Tools for Healthy Relationships.* 3rd ed. Encinitas, CA: PuddleDancer Press. ISBN: 978-1892005281
- Kraybill, Ron and Evelyn Wright. (2006). *The Little Book of Cool Tools for Hot Topics: Group Tools to Facilitate Meetings When Things Are Hot*. Intercourse, PA: Good Books. ISBN: 9781561485437.
- Kaner, Sam. 2007. *Facilitator's Guide to Participatory Decision Making, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.* San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Kendall, Frances E. and Charmaine L. Wijeyesinghe. 2017. Advancing Social Justice Work at the Intersections of Multiple Privileged Identities. NEW DIRECTIONS FOR STUDENT SERVICES, no. 157, Wiley Periodicals, Inc. Published online in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com)
- Lederach, John Paul. 1995. *Preparing for Peace: Conflict Transformation Across Cultures*. Syracuse, NY: Syracuse University Press.
- Levinger, Matthew. 2013. Conflict Analysis: Understanding Causes, Unlocking Solutions (USIP Academy Guides). Washington DC: United States Institute of Peace; United States Institute of Peace Academy Guides edition.

- Lindorfer, Simone & Cécile Druey. 2010. "Reaching the Water despite piling up stones" Encouragements and challenges for North Caucasian trauma recovery from the perspective of international psychosocial trauma work.
- Kraybill, Ron and Evelyn Wright. 2006. Cool Tools for Hot topics. Intercourse: Good Books.
- Mayer, Bernard. 2009. Staying with Conflict: A Strategic Approach to Ongoing Disputes.
- MCC Office on Justice and Peacebuilding. (2008). Conflict transformation and restorative justice manual, 5th edition. Akron PA: MCC. (Selected articles). MCC Manual.
- Monin, Nanette and Bathurst, Ralph. 2008. *Mary Parker Follett on the Leadership of 'Everyman.*' Ephemera, Vol. 8(4), pp. 447-461.
- Lunsford, Andrea A. (2014). *The Everyday Writer,* 5<sup>th</sup> Edition (or most recent). Boston: Bedford/St. Martin's. ISBN 978-1-4576-6082-5. Approximately \$65 on line. A special EMU edition is available at the bookstore for \$77 and there are copies on reserve in the library.

Neufeldt, Reina. 2016. Ethics for Peacebuilders: A practical guide. New York: Rowman and Littlefield.

Pranis, Kay. 2005. Little Book of Circle Processes. Intercourse, PA: Good Books.

- Rubenstein, Richard. 2001. Basic Human Needs: the next steps in theory development, International Journal of Peace Studies. Spring. ISSN 1085-7494. Volume 6, Number 1
- Schirch, Lisa and David Campt. (2007). *The Little Book of Dialogue for Difficult Subjects: A Practical Hands-On Guide*. Intercourse, PA: Good Books. ISBN: 978-156148-5512. Approximate price: \$5.
- Shapiro, Ilana. 2006. Extending the Framework of Inquiry: Theories of Change in Conflict Interventions. Berghof Research Center for Constructive Conflict Management - Berghof Handbook Dialogue No. 5. First launch Aug 2006.
- Wilmot, William W. and Joyce L. Hocker. 1998. Third-Party Intervention in *Interpersonal Conflict*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Yoder, Carolyn. 2005. *Little Book on Trauma Healing When Violence Strikes and Community Is Threatened.* Intercourse, PA: Good Books. ISBN: 978-1561485079.

#### **REQUIRED ASSIGNMENTS:**

These are brief descriptions of required graded assignments for the course. More details for each assignment can be found on the "Guidance Notes" that will be provided on Moodle.

#### Assignments (out of 100%)

**Course Participation:** No points are given for class participation, but you are expected to attend every class session prepared to participate in activities and to discuss readings for the day. We will regularly have discussions from the readings. You should be prepared to discuss the following: What you think about the author's perspectives and theories, what points in the readings make the most sense to you given your experiences, and what contribution the readings make to your understanding of content for the week? And, what gaps are there in the readings themselves or in assignments overall? In addition, you can expect to complete ungraded assignments such as the I am From Introduction, the Enneagram Assessment, Personal Conflict Styles, assigned small group work between classes, and more.

As part of the Team Project, you will be expected to participate in team meetings outside of class (might be in person or online) to discuss readings, and complete research and writing. The team will also meet with a coach outside of class. You should expect approximately 1 ½ to 2 hours in team meetings per week.

**Genogram and Personal Reflection Paper: 10%** You will develop and submit a formal genogram for your family (of origin or adoption) using GenoPro software, pen and paper, electronic media (paint, draw etc.) **or** other visual tool (paint, marker, etc.). You must include a key or legend and a written reflection. You should be prepared to turn in a hard-copy representation of your genogram if not using GenoPro or if you cannot scan or photograph your genogram. More information on genograms can be found on Moodle. GenoPro is not available for Apple computers/tablets, but students have sometimes found other free options for Apple. More information is provided in the Genogram guidance notes.

#### Practitioner Interview or Observation: 10%

You have the opportunity to interview and/or observe a peacebuilding practitioner or social change leader who you respect. Write a 3-5 page (single-spaced) paper to describe your chosen leader's work and practice, guiding values and vision, the skills and qualities this person embodies, and the peace and justice building processes the practitioner and her/his organization uses. Your discussion should include an analysis of personal characteristics, training and educational background, and the methods, tools and skills used. Finally, highlight what you have learned about the leader's approach to one or more "core values" such as balance, empowerment, interdependence, and leverage. See Guidance Notes for further details. Alternative media/arts may be proposed for this assignment.

**Forum Reading Responses: 20% (5 @ 4% each)** You are asked to reflect on the readings listed for 5 selected weeks and to submit a discussion board contribution **by Thursday 8 a.m**. on the dates that responses are due, including one response to the instructor's questions, and one response to a fellow student on at least one question or thread. Failure to meet these deadlines will result in a grade reduction for the discussion board on that given week. You do not need to respond to every question posed by the instructors, but use the questions as a starting point for your response. The following rubric is provided to help you monitor your discussion forum contributions, and will be used by the instructor(s) in grading your participation.

Full descriptions with prompts for writing are available on the date the response is due on the Moodle site.

	Excellent	Good	Adequate
Quality of post	Appropriate comments: thoughtful, reflective, and respectful of other's postings.	Appropriate comments and responds respectfully to others' postings.	Responds, but with minimum effort (e.g. "I agree with Bill").
Relevance of post	Posts topics related to discussion topic; prompts further discussion of topic.	Posts topics that are related to discussion content.	Posts topics which do not relate to the discussion content; makes short or irrelevant remarks.
Contribution to the learning community	Aware of needs of community; attempts to motivate the group discussion; presents creative approaches to topic.	Attempts to direct the discussion and to present relevant viewpoints for consideration by group; interacts freely.	Does not make effort to participate in learning community as it develops.

**Team Project (Teambuilding, Analysis, and Action Planning): 45%** (as divided below) Together with your working group/team, you will choose a situation of conflict, tension, or injustice with an **appropriate scope** (an interpersonal, small group, organizational, or small community) as a focus for your research, analysis, theory of change and design of action this semester. You will jointly gather information about the situation. The situation must be one in which you have (or can get) **significant access to data**. It should be something others are writing about/documenting (**existing data** in documents, text, or video) or a situation where you can use **generated data** by interviewing key stakeholders (either in person, Skype or by e-mail). Each member of the group is expected to spend time reading background about the case (context, history, and related information) and researching, interviewing, and interpreting data each week. The data you present as a group should be <u>compiled</u>

from your research (with appropriate citation). Your individual knowledge about a topic can be factored into the analysis, but to establish legitimacy, you must include other authors or speakers who provide evidence upon which to base your conclusions (remember the ladder of inference).

In addition to analysis and action planning a major part of this project is focused on **Teambuilding** including convening and working in teams. Instructors will check in with teams throughout the first semester to help facilitate student team work and content creation relevant to this semester-long project. Coaches will work with Foundations I student groups throughout the semester to assist them with group process.

The project itself will be due in increments. Due dates are included in the daily schedule. Some project components will be turned in individually, and some will be turned in jointly as a team. For each component that you submit either individually or jointly, you must **include a complete bibliography of** <u>all your research sources</u>. Citations will be expected in APA style (unless you can demonstrate knowledge of another citation style). More information can be found in the guidance notes for this project.

<u>Analyze: 25%</u> You will write one formal individual analysis paper including visual and conceptual models analyzing the situation you've chosen with your group. Include each of the following categories: Stakeholders and Identity (WHO the parties are including their behaviors and attitudes in relation to the situation and WHO you are); Issues, drivers, and mitigators (WHAT the issues are in conflict and what you bring); Motivations (WHY the parties are involved in conflict and WHY you want to be involved); Power and Tactics (HOW the parties are engaging in conflict and the power that each party holds in relationship to each other and to you); Context (WHERE the situation is happening including the relational and cultural context as well as the structural system that surrounds and supports the parties and you); and the History, Timing and Escalation factors (WHEN has this situation been happening, for how long, and what are the important timing factors to consider). Each Team will jointly develop a stakeholder map (WHO) and individually, you will create one additional visual model/analytical tool for each question (WHO, WHAT, WHEN, WHY, WHERE, HOW). These will be compiled into one paper to include an introduction, a self-assessment and conclusion.

<u>Theorize: 10%</u> Based on your research and analysis, you will write and submit an individual theories of change paper. Complete a theories of change paper for the situation you are addressing, and provide supporting text to describe your overall goal, how you might address this goal, and one theory of change that may help you meet the goal. The goal will be shared by everyone in the group, the theory of change you select will represent one way to work toward the goal. This paper is usually 2-3 single-spaced pages minimum. You may propose alternative media or structure for presenting your work. See Guidance Notes

<u>Design: 10%</u> As a working group, you will jointly create a plan (as an organization, individual or team) for intervening or planning action in/for the situation you have chosen. Given your analysis and theory of change, WHO needs to be involved, who are you, and are you the right person(s) to do this? WHO are the other actors and organizations and what are they doing? WHAT should be done and what are

the strategic places for intervention? What theories of change are possible? What theory of change will your organization use to organize planning? WHY do you want to get involved and why should you be involved? HOW should the intervention be done? What are the skills needed and the appropriate processes for an intervention? How will this be paid for? WHERE is this occurring and what is culturally appropriate and what is appropriate for your ability to enter the situation and given your abilities/skills. WHEN should an intervention take place? This paper is usually 3-4 single-spaced pages minimum. You may propose alternative media or structure for presenting your work.

**Working Group/Team Presentation: 10%** Each small group will give a formal presentation about the semester-long project including a summary of the analysis (with a minimum of 4 lenses per group), identified theories of change, an outline of planned action, and a summary of the group's teambuilding process and insights.

**Final Examination 5%** In this mock-comprehensive exam, you will be presented with a choice of a particular conflict scenario at the personal, interpersonal, small group, or organizational level. You will analyze the situation, develop theories of change, then design an intervention for that particular conflict. The paper needs to provide: solid analysis and can include the questions (Who, What, Why, Where, How, When), self-analysis, identification of relevant theory(ies)-of-change and an intervention plan (describing the proposed skills, roles, and processes). You will have one week to complete the exam.

# **GRADING CRITERIA AND OTHER POLICIES:**

#### Writing Guidelines:

*Writing* will be a factor in evaluation: EMU has adopted a set of writing guidelines for graduate programs that include six sets of criteria: content, structure, rhetoric & style, information literacy, source integrity, and conventions (see page 3). It is expected that graduates will be able to write at least a "good" level with 60% writing at an "excellent" level. For the course papers, please follow the APA style described in CJP's *GUIDELINES for GRADUATE PAPERS* (see CJP Student Resources Moodle page or request a copy from the Academic Program Coordinator), unless directed otherwise by the instructor.

# Academic Integrity Policy (AIP):

EMU faculty and staff care about the integrity of their own work and the work of their students. They create assignments that promote interpretative thinking and work intentionally with students during the learning process. Honesty, trust, fairness, respect, and responsibility are characteristics of a community that is active in loving mercy and doing justice. EMU defines plagiarism as occurring when a person presents as one's own someone else's language, ideas, or other original (not common-knowledge) material without acknowledging its source (Adapted from the Council of Writing Program Administrators). This course will apply EMU's AIP to any events of academic dishonesty. For more information see <a href="https://emu.edu/cms-links/writing-">https://emu.edu/cms-links/writing-</a>

program/docs/Student Academic Integrity Policy.BB.9-16.pdf. If you have doubts about what is appropriate, one useful website is <u>https://www.indiana.edu/~academy/firstPrinciples/index.html</u>.

# Turnitin:

Students are accountable for the integrity of the work they submit. Thus, you should be familiar with EMU's Academic Integrity Policy (see above) in order to meet the academic expectations concerning appropriate documentation of sources. In addition, EMU is using Turnitin, a learning tool and plagiarism prevention system. For CJP classes, you may be asked to submit your papers to Turnitin from Moodle. For more information about Turnitin, with instructions for using it see: https://guides.turnitin.com/01 Manuals and Guides/Student Guides.

Moodle:

Moodle (<u>https://moodle.emu.edu/</u>) is the online learning platform that EMU has chosen to provide to faculty, administrators and students. Students will have access to course information within Moodle for any class they are registered for in a given term. The amount of time a student has access to information before and after the class is somewhat dependent on the access given to students by the individual faculty member. However, please note that courses are not in Moodle permanently – after two years the class will no longer be accessible. <u>Please be sure to download resources from Moodle that you wish to have ongoing access to</u>.

#### Technology Requirements and Communication (if joining a class by zoom):

Communication will largely be accomplished via the Moodle platform utilized by EMU and your EMU email. Check both frequently during the semester. In addition, during class synchronous sessions, it will be expected that you will use a noise-reducing headset to minimize background noise and disruption. Remember to keep your headsets UNMUTED during the sessions and avoid moving, brushing, touching or fumbling with them as it creates unwanted noise in the class space.

#### Institutional Review Board:

All research conducted by or on EMU faculty, staff or students must be reviewed by the Institutional Review Board to assure participant safety: <u>http://www.emu.edu/irb/</u>.

#### **Classroom Research Proposals**

The research projects carried out as part of Foundations I are typically considered classroom research. Projects are "classroom research if they 1) involve human participants for instructional purposes only, 2) involve no more than minimal risk to participants, and 3) do not involve vulnerable populations." Completion of <u>researcher training</u> is required in Foundations I through completion of the online NIH training for protection of human subjects participating in research. This type of research requires the instructor to approve the projects and submit a <u>Faculty Approval of Student Research Form</u> to the IRB. This form serves as the IRB proposal for all projects in the class and the projects are exempt from full Board review. If a student or team's project does not meet the definition of classroom research or if they plan to publish or present research findings outside the realm of the actual classroom, then the student (or team) must submit a separate IRB proposal following the <u>online procedures</u> described on the IRB web page. https://emu.edu/irb/irb-policy-guide/

#### Grading Scale & Feedback:

In most courses *grades* will be based on an accumulation of numerical points that will be converted to a letter grade at the end of the course (several CJP courses are graded pass/fail). Assignments will receive a score expressed as a fraction, with the points received over the total points possible (e.g. 18/20). The following is the basic scale used for evaluation. *Points may be subtracted for missed deadlines*.

95-100 = A outstanding	90-94 = A- excellent	85-89 = B+ very good
80-84 = B good	76-79 = B- satisfactory	73-75 = C+ passing
70-72 = C unsatisfactory	Below 70 = F failing	

Graduate students are expected to earn A's & B's. A GPA of 3.0 for MA students and 2.75 for GC students is the minimum requirement for graduation.

*Regarding feedback on papers/projects:* Students can expect to receive papers/assignments back in a class with faculty feedback before the next paper/assignment is due. This commitment from faculty assumes that the student has turned the paper in on the agreed upon due date.

# Graduate & Professional Studies Writing Center:

Please utilize the writing center! They offer free individual tutoring from a graduate student tutor. Please see <u>http://www.emu.edu/writing-program/</u> for more information, including how to schedule appointments.

#### Library

The Hartzler Library offers research support (via e-mail, chat, phone, or SSC campus) and the library home page offers subject guides to help start your research. These resources are accessible from the library home page: <u>https://emu.edu/library/</u>.

#### Office of Academic Access:

*If* you have a physical, psychological, medical or learning disability that may impact your work in this course, it is your responsibility to contact the Office of Academic Access in the Academic Success Center on the third floor of the Hartzler Library. They will work with you to establish eligibility and to coordinate reasonable accommodations. All information and documentation is treated confidentially. See <u>http://www.emu.edu/academics/access/</u> for more information.

#### **Class Attendance:**

Students are expected to attend all class meetings. If unusual or emergency circumstances prevent class attendance, the student should notify the professor in advance if possible. Multiple absences from class will result in lower grades. The student is responsible for the material presented in classes missed (from EMU Graduate Catalog). Students should be aware of the importance of regular class attendance, particularly in the case of CJP classes that only meet once a week or over several weekends. Being absent for more than one class leads to a student missing a large portion of the class content. In addition to consistent class attendance, students should make every effort to arrive to class on time out of respect for the learning process, fellow students and faculty.

#### **Course Extensions and Outstanding Grades:**

For fall and spring semesters, all coursework is due by the end of the semester. If a student will not be able to complete a course on time, the student must submit a request one week before the end of the semester for an extension (up to 6 months), by emailing the instructor, academic advisor and the Academic Program Coordinator. If the request is granted the student will receive an "I (incomplete) for the course which will later be replaced by a final grade when the work has been turned in on the agreed upon date. If the request for an extension is denied, the student will receive a grade for the work that has been completed up until the time the course was expected to have been completed. If no work has been submitted, the final grade will be an F (or W under unusual circumstances and with permission of the Program Director). Extensions will be given only for legitimate and unusual situations. Extensions are contracted by the student with the program for up to a maximum of 6 months after the deadline for the course work. *PLEASE NOTE: Grades for coursework submitted late may be reduced at the instructor's discretion and in line with their course policy on turning in coursework after the due date. If the extension deadline is not met, the instructor will submit the final grade based on what has been received to date.* 

# Inclusive, Community-Creating Language Policy:

Eastern Mennonite University expects all its faculty, staff, and students to adopt inclusive written and spoken language that welcomes everyone regardless of race or ethnicity, gender, disabilities, age, and sexual orientation. We will use respectful and welcoming language in all our official departmental documents and correspondence, including those put forth by way of Internet communication, and throughout all academic coursework, inclusive of classroom presentations and conversations, course syllabi, and both written and oral student assessment materials (see CJP Student Resources moodle page or request a complete copy along with best practices from the Academic Program Coordinator).

#### Title IX:

The following policy applies to any incidents that occur (on or off campus) while you are a student registered at EMU. It does not apply if you are talking about incidents that happened prior your enrollment at EMU. It is important for you to know that all faculty and staff members are required to report known or alleged incidents of sexual violence (including sexual assault, domestic/relationship violence, stalking). That means that faculty and staff members cannot keep information about sexual violence confidential if you share that information with them. For example, if you inform a faculty or staff member of an issue of sexual harassment, sexual assault, or discrimination he/she will keep the information as private as he/she can, but is required to bring it to the attention of the institution's Title IX Coordinator. If you would like to talk to this office directly, Irene Kniss, Title IX Coordinator, can be reached at 540-432-4302 or irene.kniss@emu.edu. Additionally, you can also report incidents or complaints through the online portal at http://emu.edu/safecampus/. You may report, confidentially, incidents of sexual violence if you speak to Counseling Services counselors. Campus Ministries' pastors, or Health Services personnel providing clinical care. These individuals, as well as the Title IX Coordinator, can provide you with information on both internal & external support resources. Please refer to the Student Handbook which can be found at https://emu.edu/cmslinks/graduate/docs/graduate-student-handbook.pdf for additional policies, information, and resources available to you.

# Academic Program Policies:

For EMU graduate program policies and more CJP-specific graduate program policies, please see <a href="https://helpdesk.emu.edu/confluence/display/gradcatalog/Graduate+Catalog+Home">https://helpdesk.emu.edu/confluence/display/gradcatalog/Graduate+Catalog+Home</a>.

Writing Standards – Graduate Level	(revised Spring 2016)
------------------------------------	-----------------------

by sclarity of pose ers depth of content plies insight and presents original nking ows guidelines for ntent pows coherence, and ically developed ragraphs es very effective nsitions between as and sections nstructs appropriate roduction and nclusion concise, eloquent d rhetorically ective ectively uses rrect, varied and ncise sentence ucture engaging to read tes appropriately for dience and purpose	<ul> <li>expectations</li> <li>shows some clarity of purpose</li> <li>offers some depth of content</li> <li>applies some insight and some original thinking</li> <li>mostly follows guidelines for content</li> <li>shows some coherence and some logically developed paragraphs</li> <li>uses some effective transitions between ideas &amp; sections</li> <li>shows some construction of appropriate introduction and conclusion</li> <li>is somewhat concise, eloquent, and rhetorically effective</li> <li>generally uses correct, varied, and concise sentence structure</li> <li>is somewhat engaging to read</li> <li>generally writes appropriately for audience and purpose</li> <li>uses mostly academic and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>shows minimal clarity of purpose</li> <li>offers minimal depth of content or incorrect content</li> <li>applies minimal insight and original thinking</li> <li>does not follow guidelines for content</li> <li>shows minimal coherence and logically developed paragraphs</li> <li>uses minimal transitions between ideas and sections</li> <li>shows minimal construction of appropriate introduction and conclusion</li> <li>shows minimal conciseness, eloquence, and rhetorical effectiveness</li> <li>uses incorrect, monotonous or simplistic sentence structure</li> <li>is not engaging to read</li> <li>lacks appropriate introg for audience and purpose</li> <li>uses inappropriate jargon and clichés</li> </ul>	
as and sections instructs appropriate roduction and inclusion concise, eloquent d rhetorically ective ectively uses rect, varied and incise sentence ucture engaging to read tes appropriately for dience and purpose es academic and	<ul> <li>&amp; sections</li> <li>shows some construction of appropriate introduction and conclusion</li> <li>is somewhat concise, eloquent, and rhetorically effective</li> <li>generally uses correct, varied, and concise sentence structure</li> <li>is somewhat engaging to read</li> <li>generally writes appropriately for audience and purpose</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>shows minimal construction of appropriate introduction and conclusion</li> <li>shows minimal conciseness, eloquence, and rhetorical effectiveness</li> <li>uses incorrect, monotonous or simplistic sentence structure</li> <li>is not engaging to read</li> <li>lacks appropriate writing for audience and purpose</li> <li>uses inappropriate jargon and clichés</li> </ul>	
d rhetorically ective ectively uses rect, varied and ncise sentence ucture engaging to read tes appropriately for dience and purpose es academic and	<ul> <li>eloquent, and rhetorically effective</li> <li>generally uses correct, varied, and concise sentence structure</li> <li>is somewhat engaging to read</li> <li>generally writes appropriately for audience and purpose</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>eloquence, and rhetorical effectiveness</li> <li>uses incorrect, monotonous or simplistic sentence structure</li> <li>is not engaging to read</li> <li>lacks appropriate writing for audience and purpose</li> <li>uses inappropriate jargon and clichés</li> </ul>	
	uses mostly academic and		
booses sources from iny types of sources booses timely sources for the topic egrates references d quotations to opport ideas fully	<ul> <li>reliable sources</li> <li>chooses sources from a moderate variety of types of resources</li> <li>chooses resources with mostly appropriate dates</li> <li>integrates references and quotations to provide some support for ideas</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>lacks academic and reliable sources</li> <li>chooses sources from a few types of resources</li> <li>chooses a few resources with inappropriate dates</li> <li>integrates references or quotations that are loosely linked to the ideas of the paper</li> </ul>	
rectly cites sources all quotations es paraphrases rectly and credibly ludes reference ge ikes virtually no ors in cumentation style ikes virtually no ors in formatting orporates feedback en in previous tten assignments	<ul> <li>correctly cites sources for most quotations</li> <li>usually cites paraphrases correctly and credibly</li> <li>includes reference page with some errors</li> <li>makes some errors in documentation style</li> <li>makes some errors in formatting</li> <li>incorporates some feedback given in previous written assignments</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>provides minimal sources for quotations</li> <li>sometimes cites paraphrases correctly and credibly,</li> <li>includes reference page with many errors</li> <li>makes many errors in documentation style</li> <li>makes many errors in formatting</li> <li>lacks incorporation of feedback given in previous written assignments</li> </ul>	
ikes virtually no ors in SEE nventions ikes accurate word pices	<ul> <li>makes some errors SEE conventions</li> <li>almost always makes accurate word choices</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>makes many errors in SEE conventions</li> <li>makes many inaccurate word choices</li> </ul>	
	rectly cites sources all quotations es paraphrases rectly and credibly ludes reference ge kes virtually no ors in sumentation style kes virtually no orporates feedback en in previous tten assignments kes virtually no ors in SEE iventions kes accurate word pices	<ul> <li>correctly cites sources for most quotations</li> <li>usually cites paraphrases rectly and credibly ludes reference ge</li> <li>usually cites paraphrases correctly and credibly ludes reference page with some errors</li> <li>includes reference page with some errors in documentation style</li> <li>makes some errors in documentation style</li> <li>makes some errors in formatting orporates feedback en in previous tten assignments</li> <li>makes some errors SEE conventions kes accurate word offices</li> <li>makes some errors SEE conventions</li> <li>almost always makes accurate word choices</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>paper</li> <li>correctly cites sources for most quotations</li> <li>correctly cites sources for most quotations</li> <li>usually cites paraphrases correctly and credibly</li> <li>includes reference page with some errors</li> <li>makes some errors in documentation style</li> <li>makes some errors in documentation style</li> <li>makes some errors in formatting</li> <li>incorporates some feedback given in previous written assignments</li> <li>makes some errors SEE conventions</li> <li>makes some errors SEE conventions</li> <li>makes some errors SEE conventions</li> <li>almost always makes accurate word</li> <li>makes many errors in SEE</li> <li>makes some errors SEE</li> <li>makes many errors in SEE</li> </ul>

# Criteria for Evaluating Arts-Based Peacebuilding Projects

		D Minima - I	O Deleve e f ti	O a manufacture de la constance de la constanc
CRITERIA	A – Excellent		C – Below expectations	Comments
CRITERIA Goals & Audience Are the goals or learning objectives of the project clear? Have they been met? Is the intended audience clearly specified? Is the project appropriate for this audience? Does the project communicate to the intended audience? Methodology Is the overall methodology clear and appropriately used? Has the project incorporated	A – Excellent -audience & goals/learning objectives clearly identified. -project appropriate for, and likely to meet, its goals -project is appropriate for specified audience -project understandable to & likely to engage and/or communicate to audience -project incorporates inquiry methods required by the assignment -all methodologies & technologies have been appropriately	<ul> <li>B – Minimal expectations</li> <li>-audience and goals identified though not as clearly as they could be</li> <li>project may meet its goals but this is not entirely clear</li> <li>project is at least somewhat appropriate for, and likely to communicate to audience.</li> <li>methodology basically appropriate to the project and appropriately used, but could be strengthened</li> </ul>	C – Below expectations -audience and goals inappropriate or inadequately identified -project unlikely to meet its goals and/or communicate to the audience -methodology inadequate and/or inadequately articulatedsources not appropriately identified	Comments
incorporated specific methods required by the assignment? If intended as a form of intervention, has thought be given to how it will be implemented?	been appropriately used, with attention to ethical and methodological issues -if intended as intervention or advocacy, project has given adequate thought to implementation -sources & methods are adequately identified	-sources and methods identified but not as fully as they could be -more thought should be given to implementation issues	appropriately identified -inadequate attention to implementation issues	
Analysis Is there evidence of critical thinking and analysis?	<ul> <li>evidence of critical thinking about methods, sources, information and analysis or editing.</li> <li>uses analysis/editing methods appropriate for the project</li> <li>method of analysis or editing is adequately articulated</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>some evidence of critical thinking but could be stronger</li> <li>analytical approach and the analysis itself is basically appropriate but could be stronger and/or articulated better.</li> </ul>	-inadequate evidence of critical thinking -analysis lacking or inadequate -analytic approach inappropriate or inadequately specified	
Craft & Coherence Is the level of artistic and/or technical craft adequate for the	- level of craft is clearly adequate for the audience & to meet project goals (whether or not it	-level of craft is minimally adequate for the audience and goals -project coherence could be stronger	-level of craft inadequate for purposes and/or audience -project is not coherent	

specified goals and audience? Did it involve an appropriate amount of work? Does the final product have coherence and "resonance?"	meets "artistic" standards) -project is coherent & likely to resonate with the intended audience -product shows an appropriate amount of effort for this assignment			
Content Is the content appropriate & adequate, given the goals, audience & assignment? Is there evidence of insight, originality &/or creativity?	<ul> <li>- information conveyed is clearly adequate for goals, audience &amp; assignment</li> <li>-shows depth &amp; breadth of content</li> <li>-shows insight, originality &amp;/or creativity</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>-information conveyed is adequate but could be strengthened</li> <li>-some evidence of insight, originality, or creativity</li> </ul>	-inadequate information -little or no evidence of insight, originality and/or creativity	
				<u>Grade</u>

# Criteria for Evaluating Arts-Based Peacebuilding Projects

#### Background notes:

• Arts approaches can be used in several different stages of a project:

- 1. To gain or create knowledge. (For example, research "subjects" or participants might be engaged in an arts-based project as a way of soliciting information or encouraging insight.)
- 2. To add complexity or nuance to created knowledge. (For example, an arts practice may serve as one method in a multi-method research project, creating an integrated, reflective methodology for the project. Alternatively, an arts practice could be used to analyze and/or interpret data collected by conventional methods.)
- 3. To test knowledge. (For example, researchers might verify their interpretation of findings from a more traditional research process by creating a play or exhibit and testing it for resonance with their subjects.)
- 4. To share findings. (For example, a play or exhibit might be created to (re)-present data collected or analyzed via conventional methods in order to impart the particular kinds of meaning the researcher considers important, and as a way to reach and engage a broader audience.)
- 5. As a form of intervention. (For example, a project might be designed to raise awareness of an issue or conflict, to promote dialogue on a contested issue, or to advocate for a cause.)

• Arts-based products often do not specify methodologies used. Thus it may be important for a project to be accompanied by a short paper discussing analysis, theory of change, audience, goals, and methods used.

• Patricia Leavy, *in "Method Meets Art: Arts-based Research Practice" (New York: Guilford Press)* 2009, argues that "[t]raditional conceptions of validity and reliability, which developed out of positivism, are inappropriate for evaluating artistic inquiry." (p. 15). She suggests that authenticity, trustworthiness, and validity can be assessed through attention to such elements as aesthetics, resonance, and vigor.

• For a discussion of standards, see "Method Meets Art" (Leavy, 2009: 15ff and Chapter 8).